

LECTURE 17

In this lecture, we introduce two examples of stable ∞ -categories:

- the naive ∞ -category $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$ of cochain complexes in an *additive category* \mathbf{A}
- the derived ∞ -category $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ of an *abelian category* \mathbf{A}

1. $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$ AND $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ VIA LOCALIZATION

Definition 1.1. Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category and $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ be the ordinary category of cochain complexes in \mathbf{A} . Let $f, g : M^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$ be morphisms in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$. A **cochain homotopy** from f to g is a collection of maps $h^n : M^n \rightarrow N^{n-1}$ such that

$$f^n - g^n = d \circ h^n + h^{n+1} \circ d$$

for any n . We say f **and** g **are homotopic**, or $f \sim g$, if there exists a cochain homotopy between them.

Remark 1.2. Being homotopic is an equivalence relation on $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})}(M^\bullet, N^\bullet)$.

Example 1.3. Let $F, G : X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous maps between topological spaces. Then any homotopy from F to G induces a cochain homotopy from F^* to G^* , where $F^* : \mathbf{C}^\bullet(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\bullet(X)$ is the cochain homomorphism between the singular cochain complexes.

Exercise 1.4. Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category (so that we can define cohomologies). If $f \sim g$, then $H^n(f) = H^n(g)$ as morphisms $H^n(M^\bullet) \rightarrow H^n(N^\bullet)$.

Definition 1.5. Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category and $f : M^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$. We say f is a **cochain homotopy equivalence** if there exists $g : N^\bullet \rightarrow M^\bullet$ such that $f \circ g \sim \text{id}_{N^\bullet}$ and $g \circ f \simeq \text{id}_{M^\bullet}$. Let S be the collection of cochain homotopy equivalences in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$.

Definition 1.6. Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category and $f : M^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$. We say f is a **quasi-isomorphism** if it induces isomorphisms between the cohomologies. Let W be the collection of quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$.

Exercise 1.7. Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category. Show that a cochain homotopy equivalence in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Exercise 1.8. Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category and $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence. Show that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} [\dots & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \dots] \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ [\dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & \dots] \end{array}$$

is always a quasi-isomorphism, but is a cochain homotopy equivalence iff the given short exact sequence has a splitting.

Definition 1.9. Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category. The *naive ∞ -category of cochain complexes* in \mathbf{A} is defined to be the ∞ -categorical localization¹

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A}) := \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbf{A})[S^{-1}].$$

Definition 1.10. Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category. The *unbounded derived ∞ -category* of \mathbf{A} is defined to be the ∞ -categorical localization

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) := \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbf{A})[W^{-1}].$$

Exercise 1.11. Write $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ as a localization of $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$.

Warning 1.12. In the non- ∞ -categorical literatures, $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ often means the 1-categorical localizations, which are the homotopy categories of our ∞ -categories.

1.13. It is well-known (see [Sta24, Tag 05QI]) that $\mathbf{hK}(\mathbf{A})$ has a structure of triangulated categories such that for any $f : M^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$ in $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$, there is a distinguished triangle

$$M^\bullet \xrightarrow{f} N^\bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{cone}(f) \rightarrow M^\bullet[1]$$

for the corresponding objects in $\mathbf{hK}(\mathbf{A})$. Moreover, when \mathbf{A} is abelian, this triangulated is compatible with the collection of quasi-isomorphisms and thereby induces a triangulated structure on the 1-categorical localization $\mathbf{hD}(\mathbf{A})$.

Warning 1.14. The category $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ is almost never triangulated because any abelian triangulated category is semisimple.

1.15. The following result says the above triangulated categories come from stable ∞ -categories.

Theorem 1.16 (HA.1.3.2.10). Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category. The ∞ -category $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$ is stable and the triangulated structure on $\mathbf{hK}(\mathbf{A})$ coincides with the well-known one².

Theorem 1.17 (HA.1.3.5.9, 1.3.5.21). Let \mathbf{A} be a Grothendieck³ abelian category. The ∞ -category $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ is presentable and stable and the triangulated structure on $\mathbf{hD}(\mathbf{A})$ coincides with the well-known one.

Warning 1.18. Let \mathbf{A} be a Grothendieck abelian category. The ∞ -category $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$ is almost never presentable. See this [MathOverflow question](#).

Remark 1.19. Note that the ∞ -category $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ defined above makes sense for any abelian category \mathbf{A} . One can prove $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ is always stable (for example using [NS18, Theorem I.3.3]). However, $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ may be ill-behaved in general. For example, when \mathbf{A} is small, it is better to consider $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{A})$.

2. $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$ AND $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ VIA DIFFERENTIAL GRADED NERVE

2.1. Definition 1.9 and Definition 1.10 are concise but inconvenient for calculations. In this section, we provide a more explicit construction of these ∞ -categories.

¹According to our convention, we use the same symbol to denote an ordinary category \mathbf{C} and the corresponding ∞ -category, realized as the *quasi-category* $\mathbf{N}_\bullet(\mathbf{C})$. Hence $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$ can be represented as the *quasi-categorical localization* $\mathbf{N}_\bullet(\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbf{A}))[S^{-1}]$, which is the notation used in Lurie's books.

²This claim follows from the proof of HA.1.3.2.10.

³This means \mathbf{A} is presentable and taking filtered colimits is exact.

2.2. The alternative construction for $K(A)$ will be a quasi-category such that

- an object is a cochain complex M_0
- a morphism is a cochain homomorphism $M_0 \rightarrow M_1$
- a 2-simplex is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & M_1 & \\
 f_{01} \nearrow & & \searrow f_{12} \\
 M_0 & \xrightarrow{f_{02}} & M_2 \\
 & \downarrow f_{012} & \\
 & &
 \end{array}$$

where h_{012} stands for a homotopy from $f_{12} \circ f_{01}$ to f_{02} ,

- ...

To give a description for higher simplices, it is convenient to introduce some terminologies.

Construction 2.3. Let $\text{Ch}(\text{Ab})$ be the ordinary category of cochain complexes. For $M, N \in \text{Ch}(\text{Ab})$, the **graded tensor product** $M \otimes N$ is defined as follows.

- For each integer k ,

$$(M \otimes N)^k := \bigoplus_{i+j=k} M^i \otimes N^j.$$

- For each integer k , the differential $(M \otimes N)^k \rightarrow (M \otimes N)^{k+1}$ is given by the **graded Lubniz rule**, which sends a pure tensor $m \otimes n \in M^i \otimes N^j$ to

$$d(m \otimes n) := d(m) \otimes n + (-1)^j m \otimes d(n).$$

There is a natural monoidal structure on $\text{Ch}(\text{Ab})$ with multiplication given by the graded tensor products.

Example 2.4. Let X and Y be topological spaces. Then we have $\mathbf{C}^\bullet(X \times Y) \simeq \mathbf{C}^\bullet(X) \otimes \mathbf{C}^\bullet(Y)$.

Exercise 2.5. Let $M \in \text{Ch}(\text{Ab})$. Show that a 0-cocycle in M is the same as a morphism $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow M$, where $\mathbb{1}$ is the monoidal unit.

Definition 2.6. A **differential graded category**, or a **dg-category**, is a $\text{Ch}(\text{Ab})$ -enriched category.

2.7. By definition, a dg-category \mathbb{C} consists of the following datum:

- A cochain complex $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y) \in \text{Ch}(\text{Ab})$ for objects $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ called the **mapping complex**
- A composition law given by cochain homomorphisms

$$- \circ - : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(y, z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, z)$$

which is associative in the obvious sense

- A 0-cocycle $\text{id}_x \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, x)^0$ such that $f \circ \text{id}_x = f$ and $\text{id}_x \circ g = g$ for any $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)^m$ and $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(y, x)^n$.

Exercise 2.8. Show that any $\text{id}_x \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, x)^0$ satisfying the above condition is automatically a cocycle.

Definition 2.9. Let \mathbb{C} be a dg-category. The **underlying category** \mathbb{C} of \mathbb{C} is defined such that the Hom-sets are given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\text{Ab})}(\mathbb{1}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)).$$

2.10. We will identify $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)$ with the abelian group of 0-cocycles in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)$.

Definition 2.11. Let \mathbb{C} be a dg-category. The **homotopy category** $\text{h}\mathbb{C}$ of \mathbb{C} is defined such that the Hom-sets are given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{h}\mathbb{C}}(x, y) := H^0(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)).$$

Example 2.12. For any additive category \mathbf{A} , the ordinary category $\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ has a natural enrichment $\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ over $\text{Ch}(\text{Ab})$ such that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})}(M, N)^k := \prod_i \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(M^i, N^{i+k})$$

with the differential given by the graded Leibniz rule

$$(df)(x) = d(f(x)) - (-1)^k f(dx)$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})}(M, N)^k$ and $x \in M^i$.

Exercise 2.13. Show that the underlying category of $\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ is indeed $\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$. What is its homotopy category?

Exercise 2.14. Let $f, g \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})}(M, N)^0$ be 0-cocycles, viewed as morphisms in the ordinary category $\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$. Show that a homotopy from f to g is the same as an element $h \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})}(M, N)^{-1}$ such that $dh = f - g$.

Proposition-Construction 2.15 (HA.1.3.1.10). Let \mathbb{C} be a dg-category. We have a quasi-category $\text{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbb{C})$, called the **dg-nerve** of \mathbb{C} , defined as follows.

- A 0-simplex is an object in \mathbb{C}
- A 1-simplex consists of its boundary $\{X_0, X_1\}$ together with a 0-cocycle in $f_{01} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(X_0, X_1)^0$
- A 2-simplex consists of its boundary $\{f_{01}, f_{02}, f_{12}\}$ together with an element $g_{012} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(X_0, X_2)^{-1}$ such that

$$df_{012} = -(f_{02} - f_{12} \circ f_{01}).$$

- A 3-simplex consists of its boundary $\{f_{012}, f_{013}, f_{023}, f_{123}\}$ together with an element $f_{0123} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(X_0, X_3)^{-2}$ such that

$$df_{0123} = -(f_{013} - f_{23} \circ f_{012}) + (f_{023} - f_{123} \circ f_{01}).$$

- Higher simplices are given similarly (see HA.1.3.1.6).

Proposition 2.16. Let \mathbb{C} be a dg-category and X, Y be objects in \mathbb{C} . Then there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\pi_i(\text{Maps}_{\text{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbb{C})}(X, Y)) \simeq H^{-i}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y))$$

Remark 2.17. We will prove the above proposition next time. In fact, the space $\text{Maps}_{\text{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbb{C})}(X, Y)$ can be obtained from the truncation $\tau^{\leq 0}\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y)$ in a canonical way, known as the Dold–Kan correspondence.

Exercise 2.18. Construct a monomorphism $\text{N}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbb{C})$ that is bijective on n -simplices with $n \leq 1$, where $\text{N}(\mathbb{C})$ is the usual nerve of the underlying ordinary category \mathbb{C} of \mathbb{C} .

Exercise 2.19. Construct an equivalence $\text{hN}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{h}\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.20 (HA.1.3.4.5). *Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category. Then the functor $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A}))$ exhibits $\mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A}))$ as the localization of $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A}))$ for the collection of cochain homotopy equivalences. In other words, $\mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A}))$ represents the ∞ -category $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$.*

Exercise 2.21. *Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category and $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence. Show that it represents a zero object in $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})$ iff it admits a splitting.*

2.22. Our next goal is to realize $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ as the dg-nerve of a dg-category when \mathbf{A} is a Grothendieck abelian category. Recall such \mathbf{A} has enough injective objects.

Theorem 2.23. *Let \mathbf{A} be a Grothendieck abelian category. Then $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ admits a left proper combinatorial model structure determined by the following.*

- (W) *weak equivalences are quasi-isomorphisms*
- (C) *cofibrations are degreewise monomorphisms*

Exercise 2.24. *If $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ is fibrant in the above model structure, then each $\mathbf{M}^n \in \mathbf{A}$ is injective. Conversely, if \mathbf{M} is bounded below and each \mathbf{M}^n is injective, then \mathbf{M} is fibrant.*

Proposition 2.25 (HA.1.3.5.13). *Let $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})^\circ \subseteq \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ be the full subcategory of bifibrant objects. Let $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})^\circ \subseteq \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ be the corresponding dg-category. Then the embedding*

$$\mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})^\circ) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A}))$$

admits a left adjoint

$$\mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})^\circ)$$

such that the composition

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})^\circ)$$

exhibits $\mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})^\circ)$ as the localization of $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A}))$ for the collection of quasi-isomorphisms. In other words, $\mathbf{N}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})^\circ)$ represents the ∞ -category $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$.

2.26. The above proposition implies for any Grothendieck abelian category \mathbf{A} , we have an adjunction of exact functors

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$$

such that the right adjoint functor is fully faithful, and the left adjoint is given by taking fibrant replacements.

Exercise 2.27. *Find the kernel of the left adjoint functor $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$.*

3. t-STRUCTURES

Proposition 3.1 (HA.1.3.5.19, 1.3.5.21). *Let \mathbf{A} be a Grothendieck abelian category.*

- *Let $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})^{\leq 0}$ be the full sub- ∞ -category consisting of objects represented by cochain complexes M with $H^n(M) \simeq 0$ for $n > 0$.*
- *Let $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})^{\geq 0}$ be the full sub- ∞ -category consisting of objects represented by cochain complexes M with $H^n(M) \simeq 0$ for $n < 0$.*

Then $(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})^{\leq 0}, \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})^{\geq 0})$ determines a t-structure on $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$. Moreover,

- *The functor $\mathbf{H}^0 : \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ induces an equivalence $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})^\heartsuit \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$.*
- *This t-structure is accessible, left separated, right complete and compatible with filtered colimits.*

Warning 3.2. *The t -structure on $D(A)$ is generally not left complete. See [Nee11] for a counterexample. The left completion is often denoted by $\widehat{D}(A)$.*

Example 3.3. *Let $A = \text{Mod}_R^\heartsuit$ be the abelian category of R -modules for an associative ring R . In future lectures, we will construct*

- *a symmetric monoidal structure on Sptr given by smash products;*
- *an \mathbb{E}_1 -algebra structure on the Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum $\mathbb{H}R \in \text{Sptr}$;*
- *a stable ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{H}R}$ of $\mathbb{H}R$ -modules in Sptr , equipped with a t -structure induced from that of Sptr ;*
- *a t -exact equivalence*

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{H}R} \simeq D(\text{Mod}_R^\heartsuit) =: D(R).$$

Moreover, when R is commutative, we will equip $D(R)$ with a symmetric monoidal structure given by relative tensor product over $\mathbb{H}R$.

Exercise 3.4. *Let A be a Grothendieck abelian category. Show that the left bounded part of $D(A)$ can be identified with*

$$D^+(A) \simeq \text{N}_{\text{dg}}(\text{Ch}^+(A_{\text{inj}}))$$

where $\text{Ch}^+(A_{\text{inj}})$ is the dg-category of left bounded complexes of injective objects in A . Note that the proof should not work for $D^-(A)$.

3.5. Motivated by the above exercise, we make the following definition.

Definition 3.6. *Let A be an abelian category with enough injectives. The **left bounded derived- ∞ -category** of A is defined to be*

$$D^+(A) := \text{N}_{\text{dg}}(\text{Ch}^+(A_{\text{inj}})).$$

*Dually, let A be an abelian category with enough projectives. The **right bounded derived- ∞ -category** of A is defined to be*

$$D^-(A) := \text{N}_{\text{dg}}(\text{Ch}^-(A_{\text{proj}})) \simeq D^+(A^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}.$$

Exercise 3.7. *Show that $D^-(A)$ is a stable sub- ∞ -category of $K(A_{\text{proj}})$.*

Warning 3.8. *The ∞ -categories $D^+(A)$ and $D^-(A)$ are not presentable because countable coproducts may not exist.*

3.9. The following results characterize $D^-(A)$ via universal properties.

Proposition 3.10 (HA.1.3.2.19, 1.3.3.16). *Let A be an abelian category with enough projectives. The obvious choice defines a left complete t -structure on $D^-(A)$ whose heart is canonically equivalent to A .*

Theorem 3.11 (HA.1.3.3.2, 1.3.3.6). *Let A be an abelian category with enough projectives. For any stable ∞ -category C equipped with a left complete t -structure, the following data are equivalent:*

- (i) *A right t -exact functor $F : D^-(A) \rightarrow C$ that sends A_{proj} into C^\heartsuit*
- (ii) *A right exact functor $f : A \rightarrow C^\heartsuit$*

where $f := \tau^{\geq 0} \circ F|_A$. Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent

- *F is t -exact.*
- *F preserves the hearts.*
- *f is exact.*

Definition 3.12. Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category with enough projectives and \mathbf{C} be a stable ∞ -category equipped with a left complete t -structure. For a right exact functor $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\heartsuit$, the corresponding functor F is called the **left derived functor** of f and denote as

$$\mathbb{L}f : D^-(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}.$$

Exercise 3.13. Show that $\mathbb{L}f(M)$ can be calculated via a projective resolution of the complex M .

Exercise 3.14. Consider the t -exact functor $D^-(\mathbf{Ab}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sptr}$ corresponding to the equivalence $\mathbf{Ab} \simeq \mathbf{Sptr}^\heartsuit$, $A \mapsto \mathbb{H}A$. Taking right completion, we obtain a functor

$$\pi_* : D(\mathbf{Ab}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sptr}.$$

Show that:

- (1) The functor can also be obtained via the universal property of $D^+(\mathbf{Ab})$ described by the dual of Theorem 3.11.
- (2) Make a guess for the composition $\Omega^\infty \circ \pi_*$.

3.15. Let \mathbf{A} be a Grothendieck abelian category with enough projective objects. The following result says the two definitions of $D^-(\mathbf{A})$ coincide.

Proposition 3.16 (HA.1.3.5.24). Let \mathbf{A} be a Grothendieck abelian category with enough projective objects. Then the composition

$$D^-(\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathbf{A})$$

is fully faithful with essential image given by the right bounded part of $D(\mathbf{A})$. In particular, $D(\mathbf{A})$ is left complete.

3.17. In fact, there is also a canonical t -structure on $K(\mathbf{A})$ when \mathbf{A} is a Grothendieck abelian category. However, one needs to be careful about the coconnective part because there are nonzero objects in $K(\mathbf{A})$ with zero cohomologies.

Proposition 3.18 (HA.1.3.5.18). Let \mathbf{A} be a Grothendieck abelian category.

- Let $K(\mathbf{A})^{\leq 0}$ be the full sub- ∞ -category consisting of objects represented by cochain complexes M with $H^n(M) \simeq 0$ for $n > 0$.
- Let $K(\mathbf{A})^{\geq 0}$ be the full sub- ∞ -category consisting of objects represented by cochain complexes M with $M^n \simeq 0$ for $n < 0$ such that M^n is injective for any n .

Then $(K(\mathbf{A})^{\leq 0}, K(\mathbf{A})^{\geq 0})$ determines a t -structure on $K(\mathbf{A})$.

Exercise 3.19. Identify $K(\mathbf{A})^{\geq 0}$ with the essential image of the fully faithful functor

$$D(\mathbf{A})^{\geq 0} \rightarrow D(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{A})$$

where the first functor is the right adjoint in (2.1).

Exercise 3.20. Show that both functors in $K(\mathbf{A}) \rightleftarrows D(\mathbf{A})$ are t -exact, and they induce equivalences between the hearts.

Exercise 3.21. Show that the t -structure on $K(\mathbf{A})$ is right complete and compatible with filtered colimits, but is not left separated.

APPENDIX A. $\tilde{D}(A)$

Construction A.1. Let A be a Grothendieck abelian category. Define the **unseparated derived ∞ -category** of A to be

$$\tilde{D}(A) := N^{\text{dg}}(\text{Ch}(A_{\text{inj}})) \simeq K(A_{\text{inj}}).$$

There is a t -structure on $\tilde{D}(A)$ defined similarly as that on $K(A)$ such that

- The heart is canonically identified with A ;
- This t -structure is accessible, right complete and compatible with filtered colimits;
- This t -structure is (left) **anti-complete**.

Remark A.2. Roughly speaking, being anti-complete means the t -structure is “orthogonal” to complete ones. In fact, there is an essentially unique colimit-preserving t -exact functor

$$\tilde{D}(A) \rightarrow D(A)$$

that restricts to the identity functor on the hearts. Moreover, this functor exhibits $\tilde{D}(A)$ as the (left) **anti-completion** of $D(A)$.

Example A.3. For Noetherian commutative ring R ,

$$\tilde{D}(\text{Mod}_R^\heartsuit) \simeq \text{Ind}(D^b(\text{Mod}_{R,\text{fg}}^\heartsuit)),$$

where $D^b(\text{Mod}_{R,\text{fg}}^\heartsuit)$ is the full sub- ∞ -category of $D(\text{Mod}_R^\heartsuit)$ consisting of complexes with bounded finite generated cohomologies.

A.4. Suggested readings. SAG.C.

APPENDIX B. DG-CATEGORY VS. $\mathbb{H}Z$ -LINEAR STABLE ∞ -CATEGORIES

B.1. The homotopy category hC of any dg-category \mathbb{C} has a canonical *candidate* for triangulated structures. If this candidate is indeed a triangulated structure, we say \mathbb{C} is **pretriangulated**. The following notions are essentially equivalent:

- pretriangulated dg-categories over a commutative ring R ;
- $\mathbb{H}R$ -linear stable ∞ -categories.

B.2. Suggested readings. [Coh13].

REFERENCES

- [Coh13] Lee Cohn. Differential graded categories are k -linear stable infinity categories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.2587*, 2013.
- [Nee11] Amnon Neeman. Non-left-complete derived categories. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 18(5):827–832, 2011.
- [NS18] Thomas Nikolaus and Peter Scholze. On topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math*, 221:203–409, 2018.
- [Sta24] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2024.