

## LECTURE 24

In this lecture, we study  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules for an  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes$ . Throughout this lecture,  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}$ -operad and  $q: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$  is an  $\infty$ -operad map.

### 1. RELATIVE TENSOR PRODUCTS

1.1. Last time we defined an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Mod}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes$  fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mod}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^\otimes \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ \mathrm{Alg}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{O}^\otimes & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^\otimes. \end{array}$$

Objects in  $\mathrm{Mod}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes$  should be viewed as triples  $(A, X, M)$ , where

- $A \in \mathrm{Alg}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,
- $X \in \mathcal{O}^\otimes$  is a multi-color in  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes$ ,
- $M$  is an object in  $\mathcal{C}_X^\otimes$  equipped with an  $\mathcal{O}$ - $A$ -module structure of type  $X$ .

The top horizontal functor in (1.1) sends  $(A, X, M)$  to the underlying object  $M$ , while the left vertical functor sends the triple to  $(A, X)$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (HA.3.3.3.9, 3.3.3.10). *Let  $A \in \mathrm{Alg}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathrm{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes$  is an  $\infty$ -operad, and the forgetful functor*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$$

*is an  $\infty$ -operad map.*

**Proposition 1.3** (HA.3.4.2.1). *Suppose  $q: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Let  $A := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathrm{Alg}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  be the unit algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the forgetful functor*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$$

*is an equivalence.*

**Theorem 1.4** (HA.3.4.4.2). *Let  $A \in \mathrm{Alg}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose:*

- (i) *The  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes$  is essentially small;*
- (ii) *The functor  $q: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$  exhibits  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  as a presentable  $\mathcal{O}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category.*

*Then the functor*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$$

*exhibits  $\mathrm{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes$  as a presentable  $\mathcal{O}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category.*

**Remark 1.5.** *Informally speaking, the above theorem says the relative tensor products of  $A$ -modules in an  $\mathcal{O}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category exist as long as the absolute tensor products behave well under colimits. Moreover, these relative tensor products define an  $\mathcal{O}$ -monoidal structure on the  $\infty$ -category of  $A$ -modules.*

**Remark 1.6.** *For  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes = \text{Assoc}^\otimes$ ,  $\text{Comm}^\otimes$ , or more generally  $\mathbb{E}_k^\otimes$  for  $k \geq 1$ , the relative tensor product  $M \otimes_A N$  of two objects  $M, N \in \text{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})_{(1)}^\otimes$  can be calculated explicitly as a geometric realization*

$$M \otimes N \underset{\longleftarrow}{\longleftarrow} M \otimes A \otimes N \underset{\longleftarrow}{\longleftarrow} M \otimes A \otimes A \otimes N \cdots$$

known as the Bar construction. For more details, see HA.4.4 and 5.1.3.

**Proposition 1.7** (HA.3.4.4.4). *In the setting of Theorem 1.4, for any multi-color  $X \in \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ , the  $X$ -unit object in  $\text{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes$  has underlying object in  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  canonically isomorphic to  $A(X)$ .*

**Remark 1.8.** *More precisely, a morphism  $M_0 \rightarrow M$  in  $\text{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes$  lying over  $\langle 0 \rangle \rightarrow X$  exhibits  $M$  as an  $X$ -unit object iff the corresponding functor*

$$\Delta^1 \times_{\mathcal{O}^\otimes} \mathcal{K}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$$

sends the morphism between  $(\langle 0 \rangle \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\langle 0 \rangle}$  and  $(X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X) \in \mathcal{K}_X$  to an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_X^\otimes$ .

1.9. The following result generalizes the corresponding well-known result in commutative algebra.

**Proposition 1.10** (HA.3.4.1.7). *Let  $A \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then there is a canonical equivalence*

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_{A/}$$

compatible with the forgetful functors to  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Remark 1.11.** *Informally speaking, the above equivalence is induced by restriction along a functor*

$$\mathcal{O}^\otimes \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_0$$

which sends  $(X, 0)$  to the null morphism  $\langle 0 \rangle \rightarrow X$ , and sends  $(X, 1)$  to the identity morphism  $X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X$ .

1.12. We can also describe modules in  $\text{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes$ .

**Proposition 1.13** (HA.3.4.1.8). *Let  $A \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then there is a canonical pullback diagram of  $\infty$ -categories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}^\mathcal{O}(\text{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}))^\otimes & \longrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Mod}_A^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_{A/} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Mod}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes & \longrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}). \end{array}$$

1.14. The meaning of the above proposition can be explained via the following corollary of it.

**Corollary 1.15.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then there is a canonical equivalence*

$$\text{Mod}_B^{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}))^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Mod}_B^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes},$$

where in the source we view  $B$  as an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in  $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}$ .

## 2. RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITS

**Theorem 2.1** (HA.3.4.3.4). *The functor*

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Mod}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$$

is a Cartesian fibration, and a morphism in  $\text{Mod}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}$  is Cartesian iff its image in  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  is an isomorphism.

**Exercise 2.2.** *Let  $A \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ . Show that the forgetful functor  $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  is conservative.*

**Exercise 2.3.** *Show that the straightening of (2.1)*

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$$

canonically factors through  $(\text{Cat}_{\infty})_{\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}}$ .

**Exercise 2.4.** *Let  $A \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ . Show that a morphism in  $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}$  is inert iff its image in  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  is so. Deduce that for a morphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ , the contravariant transport functor*

$$\text{res}_{\phi} : \text{Mod}_B^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}$$

is an  $\infty$ -operad map.

**Remark 2.5.** *Informally speaking, the above results say there are restriction functors along homomorphisms between  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras, and these restriction functors are laxly compatible with relative tensor products, whenever the latter exist.*

**Exercise 2.6.** *What is the relationship between  $\text{res}_{\phi}$  and the equivalence*

$$\text{Mod}_B^{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}))^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Mod}_B^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}?$$

2.7. The next result is about limits of modules. One should compare it with [Lecture 22, Theorem 5.3], which is about limits of algebras.

**Theorem 2.8** (HA.3.4.3.6). *Let  $A \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For a multi-color  $X \in \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  and a simplicial set  $K$ , suppose:*

- (i) *The functor  $q : \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  is a coCartesian fibration.*
- (ii) *The fiber  $\mathcal{C}_X^{\otimes}$  admits  $K$ -indexed limits.*

Then the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_X^{\otimes}$  admits  $K$ -indexed limits and the forgetful functor

$$\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_X^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^{\otimes}$$

preserves and detects  $K$ -indexed limits.

**Exercise 2.9.** In the above setting, show that for any morphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$ , the functor

$$\text{res}_\phi : \text{Mod}_B^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})_X^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})_X^{\otimes}$$

preserves  $K$ -indexed limits.

**Remark 2.10.** In fact, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.8 can be combined into a single statement about limits in  $\text{Mod}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes}$  relative to the projection

$$\text{Mod}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}.$$

See HA.3.4.3.1 for more details.

### 3. INDUCTION AND COLIMITS

3.1. Exercise 2.9 and the adjoint functor theorem imply the following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** Suppose:

- (i) The  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  is essentially small;
- (ii) The functor  $q : \mathbb{C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  exhibits  $\mathbb{C}^{\otimes}$  as a presentable  $\mathcal{O}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category.

Then for any multi-color  $X \in \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ , the functor

$$\text{Mod}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})_X^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$$

is a coCartesian fibration.

**Remark 3.3.** For a morphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$ , the covariant transport functor

$$\text{ind}_\phi : \text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})_X^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_B^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})_X^{\otimes}$$

is called the **induction functor**.

3.4. The following result says for  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} = \text{Comm}^{\otimes}$  the induction functor is symmetric monoidal.

**Theorem 3.5** (HA.4.5.1.3). Let  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} = \text{Comm}^{\otimes}$ . Suppose:

- The functor  $q : \mathbb{C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Comm}^{\otimes}$  exhibits  $\mathbb{C}^{\otimes}$  as a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category compatible with geometric realizations.

Then the functor

$$\text{Mod}^{\text{Comm}}(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\text{Comm}}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$$

is a coCartesian fibration.

**Remark 3.6.** The induction functor

$$\text{ind}_\phi : \text{Mod}_A^{\text{Comm}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_B^{\text{Comm}}(\mathbb{C})$$

sends  $M$  to  $B \otimes_A M$ , which can be calculated explicitly as a geometric realization

$$B \otimes M \xleftarrow{\quad} B \otimes A \otimes N \xleftarrow{\quad} B \otimes A \otimes A \otimes M \cdots$$

For more details, see HA.4.5.3.

3.7. Recall that general colimits of algebras may not be preserved by the forgetful functors. However, this would not happen for modules.

**Theorem 3.8** (HA.3.4.4.6). *Let  $A \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For a multi-color  $X \in \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  and a simplicial set  $K$ , suppose:*

- *The functor  $q : \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  exhibits  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  as an  $\mathcal{O}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category compatible with  $K$ -indexed colimits<sup>1</sup>.*

*Then the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_X^{\otimes}$  admits  $K$ -indexed colimits and the forgetful functor*

$$\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_X^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^{\otimes}$$

*preserves and detects  $K$ -indexed colimits.*

**Remark 3.9.** *Explain that the above theorem is plausible using an argument similar to [Lecture 22, §5.5].*

**Remark 3.10.** *In fact, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 3.8 can be combined into a single statement about colimits in  $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}$  relative to the projection*

$$\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}.$$

*See HA.3.4.4.3 for more details.*

#### 4. LEFT MODULES AND BIMODULES

4.1. The following result says a **Comm**-module of a commutative algebra is the same as a left (or right) module of the underlying associative algebra.

**Proposition 4.2** (HA.4.5.1.4). *Let  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} = \text{Comm}^{\otimes}$ . There is a canonical pullback diagram of  $\infty$ -categories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}^{\text{Comm}}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{\text{LMod}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Alg}_{\text{Comm}}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{\text{Assoc}}(\mathcal{C}). \end{array}$$

4.3. The following result says an **Assoc**-module of an associative algebra is the same as a bimodule of it.

**Proposition 4.4** (HA.4.4.1.28). *Let  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} = \text{Assoc}^{\otimes}$ . There is a canonical pullback diagram of  $\infty$ -categories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}^{\text{Assoc}}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{\text{BMod/Assoc}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Alg}_{/\text{Assoc}}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{/\text{Assoc}}(\mathcal{C}) \times \text{Alg}_{/\text{Assoc}}(\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

*where the bottom horizontal functor is the diagonal functor.*

<sup>1</sup>HA.3.4.4.6 is stated under the assumption that  $q$  is compatible with arbitrary small colimits. However, our weaker assumption suffices for the claim below, which is claim (2) in *loc.cit.*.

## APPENDIX A. MORE ON BIMODULES

A.1. Knowing an  $(A, B)$ -bimodule is equivalent to knowing a right  $B$ -module equipped with a left  $A$ -action that commutes with the  $B$ -action. In formula,

$${}_A\text{BMod}_B(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{LMod}_A(\text{RMod}_B(\mathbb{C})).$$

A.2. The monoidal structure on  $\text{Mod}_A^{\text{Assoc}}(\mathbb{C})$  can be generalized to operations

$${}_{A_0}\text{BMod}_{A_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times {}_{A_1}\text{BMod}_{A_2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times {}_{A_{n-1}}\text{BMod}_{A_n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}_{A_0}\text{BMod}_{A_n}(\mathbb{C})$$

satisfying certain compatibilities.

A.3. **Suggested readings.** HA.4.3.