

# NOTES FOR ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY 1

LIN CHEN

## CONTENTS

0. Introduction: why schemes?	2
<b>Part I. (Pre)sheaves</b>	<b>6</b>
1. Definition of (pre)sheaves	6
2. Stalks	11
3. Category of (pre)sheaves	16

## 0. INTRODUCTION: WHY SCHEMES?

**0.1. Algebraic sets.** Before scheme theory, algebraic geometry focused on *algebraic sets*.

**Definition 0.1.1.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field.

- The **Zariski topology** on the affine space  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  is the topology with a base consisting of all the subsets that are equal to the non-vanishing locus  $U(f)$  of some polynomial  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .
- An **embedded affine algebraic set**<sup>1</sup> in  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  is a closed subspace for the Zariski topology.
- An **embedded quasi-affine algebraic set** is a Zariski open subset of an embedded affine algebraic set.

**Example 0.1.2.** Any finite subset of  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  is an embedded affine algebraic set.

**Example 0.1.3.**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not an embedded affine algebraic set in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ .

Similarly one can define *embedded (quasi)-projective algebraic set* using *homogeneous* polynomials and the projective space  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ .

There are tons of shortcomings in the above definition. An obvious one is that the notions of *embedded algebraic sets* are not *intrinsic*.

**Example 0.1.4.** The embedded affine algebraic sets  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^1$  and  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^2$  should be viewed as the same algebraic sets.

**Notation 0.1.5.** To remedy this, we need some notations.

- For an ideal  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , let  $Z(I) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^n$  be the locus of common zeros of polynomials in  $I$ .
- For a Zariski closed subset  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , let  $I(X) \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the ideal of all polynomials vanishing on  $X$ .

Recall an ideal  $I$  is called *radical* if  $I = \sqrt{I}$ .

**Theorem 0.1.6** (Hilbert Nullstellensatz). *We have a bijection:*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{radical ideals of } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]\} &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{Zariski closed subsets of } \mathbb{A}_k^n\} \\ I &\longrightarrow Z(I) \\ I(X) &\longleftarrow X. \end{aligned}$$

Part of the theorem says the set of points of  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  is in bijection with the set of maximal ideals of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . As a corollary,  $Z(I)$  is in bijection with the set of maximal ideals containing  $I$ . The latter can be further identified with maximal ideals of  $R := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ .

Note that  $I$  is radical iff  $R$  is *reduced*, i.e., contains no nilpotent elements. This justifies the following definition.

**Definition 0.1.7.** An **affine algebraic  $k$ -set** is a *maximal spectrum*  $\text{Spm } R$  (= sets of maximal ideals) of a *finitely generated* (commutative unital) *reduced  $k$ -algebra*  $R$ . We equip it with the **Zariski topology** with a base of open subsets given by

$$U(f) := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spm } R \mid f \notin \mathfrak{m}\}, \quad f \in R.$$

<sup>1</sup>Some people use the word *variety*, while some people reserve it for *irreducible* algebraic sets.

**Example 0.1.8.**  $\text{Spm } k[x] \simeq \mathbb{A}_k^1$ .

We have the following *duality* between algebra and geometry.

Algebra	Geometry
finitely generated reduced $k$ -algebra $R$	affine algebraic $k$ -set $X$
maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$	points $x \in X$
elements $f \in R$	functions $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$
radical ideals $I \subseteq R$	Zariski closed subsets $Z \subseteq X$

Here an element  $f \in R$  corresponds to the function

$$\phi : \text{Spm } R \rightarrow k, \mathfrak{m} \mapsto \underline{f}$$

sending a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  to the image  $\underline{f}$  of  $f$  in the *residue field* of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , which is canonically identified with the underlying set of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  via the composition  $k \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$ .

The word *duality* means the correspondence  $R \leftrightarrow X$  is *contravariant*. Indeed, given a homomorphism  $f : R' \rightarrow R$ , we obtain a *continuous* map

$$\text{Spm } R \rightarrow \text{Spm } R', \mathfrak{m} \mapsto f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Note however that not all continuous maps  $\text{Spm } R \rightarrow \text{Spm } R'$  are obtained in this way, nor is  $R$  determined by the topological space  $\text{Spm } R$ .

**Exercise 0.1.9.** Show that any bijection  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$  is continuous for the Zariski topology. Find those bijections coming from a homomorphism  $k[x] \rightarrow k[x]$ .

This motivates the following definition.

**Definition 0.1.10.** A **morphism** from  $\text{Spm } R$  to  $\text{Spm } R'$  is a continuous map coming from a homomorphism  $R' \rightarrow R$ .

Then one can define general algebraic  $k$ -sets by gluing affine algebraic  $k$ -sets using morphisms, just like how people define *structured* manifolds as glued from *structured* Euclidean spaces using maps preserving the additional structures.

**0.2. Shortcomings.** The theory of algebraic  $k$ -sets provides a bridge between algebra and geometry. In particular, one can use topological/geometric methods, including various cohomology theories, to study *finitely generated reduced  $k$ -algebras*. However, these adjectives are non-necessary restrictions to this bridge.

First, number theory studies number fields and their rings of integers, such as  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It is desirable to have geometric objects corresponding to them. Hence we would like to consider general commutative rings rather than  $k$ -algebras. Then one immediately realizes the maximal spectra  $\text{Spm}$  are not enough.

**Example 0.2.1.** The map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  does not induce a map from  $\text{Spm } \mathbb{Q}$  to  $\text{Spm } \mathbb{Z}$ . Namely, the inverse image of  $(0) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a non-maximal prime ideal.

This suggests for general algebra  $R$ , we should consider its *prime spectrum*, denoted by  $\text{Spec } R$ , rather than just its maximal spectrum.

Second, even in the study of finitely generated algebras, one naturally encounters non-finitely generated ones.

**Example 0.2.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$  be a prime ideal of a finitely generated algebra. The localization  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and its completion  $\hat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  are in general not finitely generated.

Of course, one can restrict their attentions to *Noetherian* rings (and live a happy life). But let me object the false feeling that all natural rings one care are Noetherian.

**Example 0.2.3.** Noetherian rings are not stable under tensor products:  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is not Noetherian.

**Example 0.2.4.** The ring of adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not Noetherian.

**Example 0.2.5.** Natural moduli problems about Noetherian objects can fail to be Noetherian. My favorite ones includes: the space of formal connections, the space (stack) of formal group laws...

In short, there are important applications of non-Noetherian rings, and this course will deal with the latter.

Finally, we want to remove the restriction about reducedness.

**Example 0.2.6.** Reduced rings are not stable under tensor products:  $k[x] \otimes_{k[x,y]} k[x,y]/(y-x^2)$  is not reduced. Geometrically, this means  $Z(y)$  and  $Z(y-x^2)$  do not intersect transversally inside  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ .

One may notice that without reducedness, we should accordingly consider all ideals rather than just *radical* ideals, but then the construction  $I \mapsto Z(I)$  would not be bijective. Indeed, ideals with the same nilpotent radical would give the same *topological subspace* of  $\text{Spec } R$ .

But *this is a feature rather than a bug*. In Example 0.2.6, the ideal  $(y, y-x^2) = (x^2, y)$  is not radical, and it carries geometric meanings that cannot be seen from its nilpotent radical  $(x, y)$ . Namely,  $f \in (x, y)$  iff  $f(0, 0) = 0$ , while  $f \in (x^2, y)$  iff  $f(0, 0) = \partial_x f(0, 0) = 0$ . Roughly speaking, this suggests that  $(y, y-x^2)$  remembers that the curves  $Z(y)$  and  $Z(y-x^2)$  are tangent to each other at the point  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{A}_k^2$ , and the tangent vector is  $\partial_x|_{(0,0)}$ . Also note that the length of  $k[x, y]/(y, y-x^2)$  is equal to 2, which is the number of intersection points predicted by the Bézout's theorem.

In summary, on the algebra side, we should consider *all* commutative rings. On the geometric side, the corresponding notion is called *affine schemes*. Our first task in this course is to develop the following duality:

Algebra	Geometry
commutative rings $R$	affine schemes $X$
prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$	points $x \in X$
elements $f \in R$	functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$
ideals $I \subseteq R$	closed subschemes $Z \subseteq X$ .

**0.3. Schemes as structured spaces.** In theory, one can *define* a morphism between affine schemes to be a continuous map coming from a ring homomorphism. Then one can define general *schemes* by gluing affine schemes using such morphisms. This mimics the definition of differentiable manifold in the sense that a scheme would be a topological space equipped with a *maximal* affine atlas.

In practice, the above approach is awkward to work with. We prefer a more efficient way to encode the additional structure on the topological space underlying a scheme. One extremely simple but powerful way to achieve this, maybe discovered

by Serre and popularized by Grothendieck, is the notion of *sheaves* on topological spaces. Roughly speaking, a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is an *contravariant* assignment

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U)$$

sending open subsets  $U \subseteq X$  to certain structures (e.g. sets, groups, rings)  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ , such that a certain gluing condition is satisfied. Here contravariance means that for  $U \subseteq V$ , we should provide a map  $\mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$  preserving the prescribed structures.

**Example 0.3.1.** Let  $X$  be any topological space. The assignment

$$U \mapsto C(U, \mathbb{R})$$

sending  $U \subseteq X$  to the ring of continuous functions on  $U$  would be a sheaf of commutative rings on  $X$ .

Similarly, for a smooth manifold  $X$ ,  $U \mapsto C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$  would be a sheaf of commutative rings on  $X$ . This motivates us to define:

**Pre-Definition 0.3.2.** A **scheme** is a topological space  $X$  equipped with a sheaf of commutative rings  $\mathcal{O}_X$  such that locally it is isomorphic to an affine scheme.

Here for an open subset  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  should be the ring of *algebraic* functions on  $U$ , but we have not defined the latter notion yet. Nevertheless, for an *affine* scheme  $X \simeq \operatorname{Spec} R$ , the previous discussion suggests we should have  $\mathcal{O}_X(X) \simeq R$ . As we shall see in future lectures, we can bootstrap from this to get the definition of the entire sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

The goal of this course is to define schemes and study their basic properties.

## Part I. (Pre)sheaves

### 1. DEFINITION OF (PRE)SHEAVES

#### 1.1. Presheaves.

**Definition 1.1.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $(U(X), \subseteq)$  be the partially ordered set of open subsets of  $X$ . We define the **category  $\mathfrak{U}(X)$  of open subsets** in  $X$  to be the category associated to the partially ordered set  $(U(X), \subseteq)$ .

The category  $\mathfrak{U}(X)$  can be explicitly described as follows:

- An object in  $\mathfrak{U}(X)$  is an open subset  $U \subseteq X$ .
- If  $U \subseteq V$ , then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}(X)}(U, V)$  is a singleton; otherwise  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}(X)}(U, V)$  is empty.
- The identity morphisms and composition laws are defined in the unique way.

**Definition 1.1.2.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category.

- A  **$\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaf on  $X$**  is a functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .
- A **morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$**  between  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaves is a natural transformation between these functors.

Let **Set** be the category of sets. By definition, a **presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets**, i.e., a **Set-valued presheaf**, on  $X$  consists of the following data:

- For any open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , we have a set  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ , which is called the **set of sections** of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $U$ .
- For  $U \subseteq V$ , we have a map

$$\mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U), s \mapsto s|_U$$

which is called the **restriction map**.

These data should satisfy the following condition:

- For any open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , the restriction map  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$  is the identity map.
- For  $U \subseteq V \subseteq W$ , the restriction maps make the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{F}(V) & \\ \nearrow & & \searrow \\ \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{F}(W). \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$  be presheaves of sets on  $X$ . By definition, a morphism  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  consists of the following data:

- For any open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , we have a map  $\phi_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(U)$ .

These data should satisfy the following condition:

- For  $U \subseteq V$ , the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\phi_V} & \mathcal{F}'(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\phi_U} & \mathcal{F}'(U), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are restriction maps.

Similarly one can explicitly describe the notion of presheaves of abelian groups ( $k$ -vector spaces, commutative algebras) and morphisms between them.

**Example 1.1.3.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. For any object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , the constant functor

$$\mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, U \mapsto A, f \mapsto \text{id}_A$$

defines a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaf on  $X$ , which is called the **constant presheaf associated to  $A$** . It is often denoted by  $\underline{A}$ .

**Example 1.1.4.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $E \rightarrow X$  be a topological space over it. We define a presheaf  $\text{Sect}_E$  of sets as follows.

- For any  $U \subseteq X$ ,

$$\text{Sect}_E(U) := \text{Hom}_X(U, E)$$

is the set of continuous maps  $U \rightarrow E$  defined over  $X$ , a.k.a. sections of  $E$  over  $U$ .

- For  $U \subseteq V$ , the restriction map  $\text{Sect}_E(V) \rightarrow \text{Sect}_E(U)$  sends a section  $s : V \rightarrow E$  to its restriction  $s|_U : U \rightarrow E$ .

We call it the **presheaf of sections for  $E \rightarrow X$** .

**Example 1.1.5.** If  $E \rightarrow X$  is a real vector bundle, we can naturally upgrade  $\text{Sect}_E$  to be a presheaf of real vector spaces on  $X$ .

**Example 1.1.6.** Consider the constant real line bundle  $\mathbb{R} \times X$  on  $X$ . Note that  $\text{Sect}_{\mathbb{R} \times X}(U)$  can be identified with the set of continuous functions on  $U$ . It follows that we can upgrade  $\text{Sect}_{\mathbb{R} \times X}$  to be a presheaf of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra on  $X$ .

**1.2. Sheaves of sets.** Roughly speaking, a sheaf is a presheaf whose sections on small open subsets can be uniquely glued to sections on larger ones.

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf of sets on a topological space  $X$ . We say  $\mathcal{F}$  is a **sheaf** if it satisfies the following condition:

- (\*) For any open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  and any collection of sections  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ ,  $i \in I$  such that

$$s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} \text{ for any } i, j \in I,$$

there is a *unique* section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that

$$s_i = s|_{U_i} \text{ for any } i \in I.$$

**Remark 1.2.2.** Using the language of category theory, the sheaf condition is equivalent to the following condition:

- For any open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , the diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{(i,j) \in I^2} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is an *equalizer* diagram. Here the first map is

$$s \mapsto (s|_{U_i})_{i \in I}$$

the other two maps are

$$(s_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto (s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}$$

and

$$(s_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto (s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}.$$

In particular, the map  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$  is an injection.

**Remark 1.2.3.** For  $U = \emptyset$  and  $I = \emptyset$ , the sheaf condition says there is a unique section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(\emptyset)$  subject to no property. In other words, the above definition forces  $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset)$  to be a singleton.

**Example 1.2.4.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. The constant presheaf  $\underline{A}$  associated to a set  $A$  is in general not a sheaf. Indeed,  $\underline{A}(\emptyset)$  is  $A$  rather than a singleton.

We provide another reason for readers uncomfortable with the above. For a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  and *disjoint* open subsets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ , the sheaf condition implies

$$\mathcal{F}(U_1 \sqcup U_2) \simeq \mathcal{F}(U_1) \times \mathcal{F}(U_2).$$

But in general  $A$  and  $A \times A$  are not isomorphic.

**Example 1.2.5.** Let  $E \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. The presheaf  $\text{Sect}_E$  of sections on  $X$  is a sheaf. Indeed, this follows from the fact that continuous maps can be glued.

**Example 1.2.6.** Let  $\{*\}$  be a 1-point space. Then a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets on  $\{*\}$  is uniquely determined by the set  $\mathcal{F}(\{*\})$  of global sections. We often abuse the notations and use a set  $A$  to denote the sheaf on  $\{*\}$  whose set of global sections is  $A$ .

**Exercise 1.2.7.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a base of open subsets of  $X$ .

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$  be sheaves on  $X$  and  $\alpha : \mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'|_{\mathfrak{B}}$  be a natural transformation between their restrictions on the full subcategory  $\mathfrak{B}^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}}$ . Show that  $\alpha$  can be uniquely extended to a morphism  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ .
- (2) Show that for presheaves, similar claims about existence and uniqueness are both false in general.

The above exercise says sheaves are determined by their restrictions on a topological base. A natural question is, given a functor  $\mathfrak{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , under what conditions can we extend it to a sheaf  $\mathfrak{U}(X) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ ? This question is relevant to us because the Zariski topology of  $\text{Spec } R$  is defined using a base consisting of open subsets that can be easily described:

$$U(f) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\} \simeq \text{Spec } R_f.$$

It would be convenient if we can recover a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\text{Spec } R$  from its values on these open subsets. For instance, we wonder whether the contravariant functor

$$U(f) \mapsto R_f$$

can be extended to a sheaf of commutative rings. If yes, we would obtain the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$  of algebraic functions desired in the introduction. The following construction gives a positive answer to this question.

**Construction 1.2.8.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a base of open subsets of  $X$ . For a functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  and  $U \in \mathfrak{U}(X)$ , define

$$\mathcal{F}'(U) := \lim_{V \in \mathfrak{B}^{\text{op}}, V \subseteq U} \mathcal{F}(V).$$

In other words, an element in  $s' \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$  is a collection of elements  $s_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  for all open subsets  $V \subseteq U$  contained in  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that for  $V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq U$  with  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,



the map  $\mathcal{F}(V_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V_1)$  sends  $s_{V_2}$  to  $s_{V_1}$ . This construction is clearly functorial in  $U$ , i.e., for  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ , we have a natural map  $\mathcal{F}'(U_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(U_1)$ . One can check this defines a functor

$$\mathcal{F}' : \mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

equipped with a canonical isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}'|_{\mathfrak{B}^{\text{op}}} \simeq \mathcal{F}$ . In other words, we have extended  $\mathcal{F}$  to a *presheaf*  $\mathcal{F}'$  of sets on  $X$ .

**Remark 1.2.9.** Using the language in category theory, the functor  $\mathcal{F}'$  is the *right Kan extension* of  $\mathcal{F}$  along the embedding  $\mathfrak{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}}$ .

**Proposition 1.2.10.** *In above,  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a sheaf iff  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the following condition:*

(\*\*) *For any open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and any collection of elements  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ ,  $i \in I$  such that*

$$s_i|_V = s_j|_V \text{ for any } i, j \in I \text{ and } V \subseteq U_i \cap U_j, V \in \mathfrak{B},$$

*there is a unique section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that*

$$s_i = s|_{U_i} \text{ for any } i \in I.$$

*Proof.* The “only if” statement follows from the sheaf condition on  $\mathcal{F}'$  and the isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}'|_{\mathfrak{B}^{\text{op}}} \simeq \mathcal{F}$ .

For the “if” statement, we verify the sheaf condition on  $\mathcal{F}'$  directly. Let  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  be an open covering, and  $s'_i \in \mathcal{F}'(U_i)$  be a collection of sections such that

$$s'_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s'_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} \text{ for any } i, j \in I.$$

By Construction 1.2.8, each  $s'_i$  corresponds to a collection  $s_{i,V} \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  for  $V \subseteq U_i$ ,  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$  that is compatible with restrictions.

We need to show there is a unique section  $s' \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$  such that  $s'|_{U_i} = s'_i$ .

We first deal with the existence. For any  $V \subseteq U$  with  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ , since  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a base, we can choose an open covering  $V = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_j$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that each  $V_j$  is contained in some  $U_i$ . In other words, we can choose a map  $f : J \rightarrow I$  such that  $V_j \subseteq U_{f(j)}$ .

Consider the collection of sections

$$(1.1) \quad t_{j,V} := s_{f(j),V_j} \in \mathcal{F}(V_j), \quad j \in J.$$

One can check it does not depend on the choice of  $f$  and they satisfy the assumption in (\*\*). Hence there is a unique section  $s'_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  such that  $s'_V|_{V_j} = t_{j,V}$ .

One can check the obtained section  $s'_V$  does not depend on the open covering  $V = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_j$  and the collections  $(s'_V)$ ,  $V \subseteq U$ ,  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$  is compatible with restrictions. Hence by Construction 1.2.8, it corresponds to an element  $s' \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$ . One can check that  $s'|_{U_i} = s'_i$ . This proves the claim about uniqueness.

It remains to prove the statement about uniqueness. Suppose there are two such sections  $s'$ ,  $s''$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad s'|_{U_i} = s''|_{U_i} = s'_i$$

By Construction 1.2.8, they correspond to two collections  $s'_V, s''_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  for  $V \subseteq U$ ,  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ . We only need to show  $s'_V = s''_V$ .

Note that if  $V$  is contained in some  $U_i$ , then (1.2) implies

$$(1.3) \quad s'_V = s''_V = s_{i,V}.$$

Now for general open subset  $V \subseteq U$ ,  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ , as before, we can choose an open covering  $V = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_j$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that each  $V_j$  is contained in some  $U_i$ . Consider the collection of sections (1.1). By (1.3) (applied to each  $V_j$ ), we have

$$s'_V|_{V_j} = s''_V|_{V_j} = t_{j,V}.$$

Hence by (\*\*), we must have  $s'_V = s''_V$  as desired.  $\square$

### 1.3. $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaves.

**Definition 1.3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaf on a topological space  $X$ . We say  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  **$\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaf** if for any testing object  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , the functor

$$\mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, -)} \text{Set}$$

is a sheaf of sets.

**Remark 1.3.2.** By Yoneda's lemma and Remark 1.2.2,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaf iff for any open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , the canonical diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{(i,j) \in I^2} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is an *equalizer* diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Here the first morphism is given by restrictions along  $U_i \subseteq U$ , while the other two morphisms are given respectively by restrictions along  $U_i \cap U_j \subseteq U_i$  and  $U_i \cap U_j \subseteq U_j$ . In particular, the morphism

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$$

is a *monomorphism*<sup>2</sup>.

As a corollary of the remark, we obtain:

**Corollary 1.3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf of abelian groups. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf of abelian groups iff its underlying presheaf of sets  $\mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is a sheaf of sets. Here the functor  $\text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  sends an abelian group to its underlying set.*

**Exercise 1.3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf of abelian groups. Show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf of abelian groups iff for any open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod_{(i,j) \in I^2} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is exact. Here the second map is

$$s \mapsto (s|_{U_i})_{i \in I},$$

and the third map is

$$(s_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto (s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} - s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}.$$

Now suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf, can you further extend this exact sequence to the right?

**Remark 1.3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category that admits small limits. Then Construction 1.2.8 and Proposition 1.2.10 can be generalized to  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued (pre)sheaves with condition (\*\*) replaced by

<sup>2</sup>This means for any testing object  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, -)$  sends this morphism to an injection between sets.

- For any open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , any object  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , and any collection of elements  $s_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, \mathcal{F}(U_i))$ ,  $i \in I$  such that

$$s_i|_V = s_j|_V \text{ for any } i, j \in I \text{ and } V \subseteq U_i \cap U_j, V \in \mathfrak{B},$$

there is a *unique* element  $s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, \mathcal{F}(U))$  such that

$$s_i = s|_{U_i} \text{ for any } i \in I.$$

In above  $s|_V$  means the post-composition of  $s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, \mathcal{F}(U))$  with the restriction morphism  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ .

Note however for  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Ab}$ , we can keep condition (\*\*) *as it is*, because the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Ab} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  detects limits.

## 2. STALKS

### 2.1. Definition.

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf of sets on  $X$ . For a point  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathfrak{U}(X, x) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(X)$  be the full subcategory of open neighborhoods of  $x$  inside  $X$ . The **stalk of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $x$**  is

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_x := \text{colim}_{U \in \mathfrak{U}(X, x)^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(U).$$

For a given section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , the **germ of  $s$  at  $x$** , denoted by  $s_x$ , is the image of  $s$  under the canonical map  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ .

Note that  $\mathfrak{U}(X, x)^{\text{op}}$  is the category associated to the *direct set*<sup>3</sup>  $(U(X, x), \subseteq)$  of open neighborhoods of  $x$  inside  $X$ . Hence the above colimit is a *direct colimit*<sup>4</sup>. It follows that  $\mathcal{F}_x$  can be explicitly described as the quotient

$$(2.2) \quad \left( \coprod_{U \in \mathfrak{U}(X, x)} \mathcal{F}(U) \right) / \sim,$$

of the disjoint union of all  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ ,  $U \in \mathfrak{U}(X, x)$  by an equivalence relation  $\sim$ . Here two sections  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  and  $s' \in \mathcal{F}(U')$  are equivalent iff there exists  $V \subseteq U \cap U'$  such that  $s|_V = s'|_V$ . Using this description, the germ  $s_x$  of a section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  is just the equivalence class to which it belongs.

**Remark 2.1.2.** In general, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category that admits direct colimits and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaf. We can define the stalk of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $x$  using the same formula (2.1). Note that this construction is functorial in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

In particular, for a presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of abelian groups, we can define its stalk  $\mathcal{F}_x$ , which is an abelian group. It is easy to see the underlying set  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is given by (2.2) and the group structure is given by the formula

$$s_x + s'_x = (s|_V + s'|_V)_x, s \in \mathcal{F}(U), s' \in \mathcal{F}(U'), V \subseteq U \cap U'.$$

<sup>3</sup>A direct set is a partially ordered set  $(I, \leq)$  such that any finite subset of  $I$  admits an upper bound in  $I$ .

<sup>4</sup>Some people use the word *direct limit*. I strongly object this terminology.

**2.2. Sheaves and stalks.** The following result says a section of a *sheaf* is determined by its germs.

**Lemma 2.2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of sets on a topological space  $X$ . Then for any open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , the map*

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x, \quad s \mapsto (s_x)_{x \in U}$$

*is injective. Moreover, a collection of elements  $s(x) \in \mathcal{F}_x$ ,  $x \in U$  is contained in the image of this map iff it satisfies the following condition*

*(\*\*\*) For any  $x \in U$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  inside  $U$  and a section  $s_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  such that for any  $y \in V$ , we have  $s(y) = (s_V)_y$ .*

*Proof.* We first show the map (2.3) is injective. Let  $s, s' \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that all their germs are equal. By definition, for any  $x \in U$ , there exists  $V \subseteq U$  such that  $s|_V = s'|_V$ . In particular, we can find an open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  such that  $s|_{U_i} = s'|_{U_i}$ . But this implies  $s = s'$  because the sheaf condition implies

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$$

is injective.

It is obvious that any element in the image of (2.3) satisfies condition (\*\*\*). To prove the converse, let  $s(x) \in \mathcal{F}_x$ ,  $x \in U$  be a collection of elements satisfying condition (\*\*\*). By assumption, we can find an open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  and sections  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$  such that for any  $x \in U_i$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad t(x) = (s_i)_x.$$

In particular, the germs of  $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  and  $s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  are equal. Applying the injectivity of (2.3) to  $U_i \cap U_j$ , we obtain

$$s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}.$$

Hence by the sheaf condition, we can find a unique  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $s|_{U_i} = s_i$ . For any  $x \in U$ , pick  $i \in I$  such that  $x \in U_i$ , we have

$$s_x = (s_i)_x = t(x),$$

where the first equality is due to the definition of stalks, while the second one is (2.4). In particular,  $s(x) \in \mathcal{F}_x$ ,  $x \in U$  is the image of  $s$  under the map (2.3).  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.2.** Similar claim for presheaves is false in general. Namely, for  $U = X = \emptyset$ , the empty product  $\prod_{x \in \emptyset} \mathcal{F}_x$  is a singleton, while  $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset)$  can be any set.

**Corollary 2.2.3.** *If  $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  are morphisms between sheaves of sets such that  $\alpha_x = \beta_x$  for any  $x \in X$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ .*

**Proposition 2.2.4.** *Let  $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  be a morphism between sheaves of sets on a topological space. Then  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism iff for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\alpha_x : \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_x$  is a bijection.*

*Proof.* The “only if” statement is obvious. For the “if” statement, suppose  $\alpha_x$  is a bijection for any  $x \in X$ . Note that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x \\ \downarrow \alpha_U & & \downarrow \simeq (\alpha_x)_{x \in X} \\ \mathcal{F}'(U) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}'_x. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.2.1, the horizontal maps are injective, hence so is  $\alpha_U$ .

It remains to show  $\alpha_U$  is surjective. Let  $s' \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$  be a section, we will construct a section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  mapping to it by  $\alpha_U$ .

For any point  $x \in U$ , since  $\alpha_x$  is bijective, we can find an open subset  $V \subseteq X$  and a section  $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  such that  $\alpha_x(t_x) = s'_x$ . By definition,  $\alpha_x(t_x) = \alpha_V(t)_x$ . Hence the germs of  $\alpha_V(t)$  and  $s'$  at  $x$  are equal. By definition, there exists an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  inside  $U \cap V$  such that  $\alpha_V(t)|_W = s'|_W$ . Note that we also have  $\alpha_V(t)|_W = \alpha_W(t|_W)$ .

It follows that we can find an open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  and sections  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$  such that  $\alpha_{U_i}(s_i) = s|_{U_i}$ . In particular, we have

$$\alpha_{U_i \cap U_j}(s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j}) = \alpha_{U_i \cap U_j}(s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}) = s|_{U_i \cap U_j}.$$

Since we have already shown  $\alpha_{U_i \cap U_j}$  is injective, we obtain  $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ . Hence by the sheaf condition for  $\mathcal{F}$ , there exists a unique section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $s|_{U_i} = s_i$ . Using the sheaf condition for  $\mathcal{F}'$ , it is easy to see  $\alpha_U(s) = s'$  as desired.  $\square$

The above results imply that a *morphism* between sheaves are determined by the induced maps between the stalks. However, a sheaf itself is *not* determined by its stalks.

**Exercise 2.2.5.** Let  $X$  be a connected topological space and  $E \rightarrow X$  and  $E' \rightarrow X$  be two covering spaces of the same degree. Show that the sheaves  $\mathbf{Sect}_E$  and  $\mathbf{Sect}_{E'}$  on  $X$  have isomorphic stalks for any point  $x \in X$ , but they are not isomorphic unless there exists a homeomorphism  $E \simeq E'$  defined over  $X$ .

**Remark 2.2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a *compactly generated* category<sup>5</sup>. Lemma 2.2.1 and Proposition 2.2.4 can be generalized to  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaves. In other words:

- For any  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , the morphism  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x$  is a monomorphism.
- A morphism  $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  between  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaves is an isomorphism iff  $\alpha_x : \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_x$  is an isomorphism for any  $x \in X$ .

These statements can be deduced from the special case for  $\mathbf{Set}$  with the help of the following two observations:

- A morphism  $d \rightarrow d'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a monomorphism (resp. isomorphism) iff for any *compact* object  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , the map  $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, d) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, d')$  is an injection (resp. bijection).

<sup>5</sup>An object  $c$  in a (locally small) category  $\mathcal{C}$  is compact iff  $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, -)$  preserves small filtered colimits. We say  $\mathcal{C}$  is compactly generated if it admits small colimits and any object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to a small filtered colimit of compact objects. It is known that compactly generated categories also admit small limits.

- For any  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  and any *compact* object  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , the stalk of the Set-valued sheaf

$$\mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, -)} \text{Set}$$

at  $x \in X$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, \mathcal{F}_x)$ .

The details are left to the curious readers.

### 2.3. Skyscrapers.

**Definition 2.3.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $x \in X$  be a point. For any set  $A$ , we can define a presheaf  $\delta_{x,A}$  of sets as follows.

- For an open subset  $U \subseteq X$ ,
  - if  $x \in U$ , define  $\delta_{x,A}(U) := A$ ;
  - if  $x \notin U$ , define  $\delta_{x,A}(U) := \{*\}$ .
- For open subsets  $U \subseteq V$ ,
  - if  $x \in U$  (and therefore  $x \in V$ ), define the restriction map  $\delta_{x,A}(U)$  to be  $\text{id}_A$ ;
  - if  $x \notin U$ , define the restriction map to be the unique map  $\delta_{x,A}(V) \rightarrow \delta_{x,A}(U) = \{*\}$ .

One can check this indeed defines a presheaf  $\delta_{x,A}$ . We call the the **skyscraper sheaf** at  $x$  with value  $A$ .

**Exercise 2.3.2.** The presheaf  $\delta_{x,A}$  is indeed a sheaf.

**Lemma 2.3.3.** Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $x \in X$  be a point and  $A$  be a set. The stalk of  $\delta_{x,A}$  at a point  $y \in X$  is canonically bijective to

- the set  $A$  if  $y$  is contained in  $\overline{\{x\}}$ , the closure of  $\{x\}$  inside  $X$ ;
- the singleton  $\{*\}$  otherwise.

*Proof.* If  $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$ , then any open neighborhood of  $y$  contains  $x$ . It follows that

$$(\delta_{x,A})_y := \text{colim}_{U \in \mathfrak{U}(X,y)^{\text{op}}} \delta_{x,A}(U) \simeq \text{colim}_{U \in \mathfrak{U}(X,y)^{\text{op}}} A$$

is a direct colimit of the constant diagram with values  $A$ . This implies  $(\delta_{x,A})_y \simeq A$ .

If  $y \notin \overline{\{x\}}$ , then there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  such that  $x \notin V$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{U}(V,y)^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(X,y)^{\text{op}}$  is (co)final. It follows that

$$(\delta_{x,A})_y := \text{colim}_{U \in \mathfrak{U}(X,y)^{\text{op}}} \delta_{x,A}(U) \simeq (\delta_{x,A})_y \simeq \text{colim}_{U \in \mathfrak{U}(V,y)^{\text{op}}} \delta_{x,A}(U) \simeq \text{colim}_{U \in \mathfrak{U}(V,y)^{\text{op}}} \{*\}$$

is a direct colimit of the constant diagram with values  $\{*\}$ . This implies  $(\delta_{x,A})_y \simeq \{*\}$ . □

Note that if  $A$  is equipped with the structure of an abelian group, the skyscraper  $\delta_{x,A}$  can be upgraded to a sheaf of abelian groups. Then the abelian group  $(\delta_{x,A})_y$  is either  $A$  or 0.

**Proposition 2.3.4.** Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $x \in X$  be a point and  $A$  be a set. For any presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets on  $X$ , the composition

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})}(\mathcal{F}, \delta_{x,A}) \xrightarrow{(-)_x} \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(\mathcal{F}_x, (\delta_{x,A})_x) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(\mathcal{F}_x, A)$$

is an bijection.

**Corollary 2.3.5.** *The stalk functor*

$$\mathrm{PShv}(X, \mathrm{Set}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_x$$

*admits a right adjoint*

$$\mathrm{Set} \rightarrow \mathrm{PShv}(X, \mathrm{Set}), A \mapsto \delta_{A,x}.$$

*Proof of Proposition 2.3.4.* We first construct a map

$$(2.6) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Set}}(\mathcal{F}_x, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{PShv}(X, \mathrm{Set})}(\mathcal{F}, \delta_{x,A})$$

as follows. Given any map  $f : \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow A$ , for any open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , we define a map  $\alpha_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \delta_{x,A}(U)$  such that:

- If  $x \in U$ ,  $\alpha_U$  is the composition  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x \xrightarrow{f} A$ ;
- If  $x \notin U$ ,  $\alpha_U$  is the unique map  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \{*\}$ .

One can check these maps are compatible with restriction and therefore define a morphism  $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \delta_{x,A}$ . Now we define the map (2.6) to be  $f \mapsto \alpha$ .

One can check that (2.5) and (2.6) are inverse to each other. Hence both are bijections. □

**Remark 2.3.6.** In general, for any category  $\mathcal{C}$  admitting a final object<sup>6</sup> and any object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , one can define a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaf  $\delta_{x,A}$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  admits direct colimits, the stalks of  $\delta_{x,A}$  are either  $A$  or the final object of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the functor  $A \mapsto \delta_{A,x}$  is right adjoint to  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_x$ .

---

<sup>6</sup>An object  $*$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a final object iff for any  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is a unique morphism  $c \rightarrow *$ .

### 3. CATEGORY OF (PRE)SHEAVES

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. Note that  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaves on  $X$  form a category

$$\mathbf{PShv}(X, \mathcal{C}) := \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{U}(X)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{C}),$$

and  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaves form a full subcategory

$$\mathbf{Shv}(X, \mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{PShv}(X, \mathcal{C}).$$

In this section, we study the basic properties of these categories.

#### 3.1. Sheafification.

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{PShv}(X, \mathbf{Set})$ . The **sheafification** of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp \in \mathbf{Shv}(X, \mathbf{Set})$  equipped with a morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sharp$  such that for any testing sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$ , pre-composing with  $\theta$  induces an bijection:

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Shv}(X, \mathbf{Set})}(\mathcal{F}^\sharp, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{PShv}(X, \mathbf{Set})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \circ \theta.$$

**Proposition 3.1.2.** *For any  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{PShv}(X, \mathbf{Set})$ , its sheafification  $(\mathcal{F}^\sharp, \theta)$  exists, and is unique up to unique isomorphism. Moreover, the morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sharp$  induces bijections  $\mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x^\sharp$  between the stalks.*

*Proof.* The statement about uniqueness follows from Yoneda's lemma. To prove the existence, we construct a sheafification as follows.

We first construct the desired sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ . For any open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , let

$$\mathcal{F}^\sharp(U) \subseteq \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x,$$

be the subset consisting of elements  $(s_x)_{x \in U}$  satisfying the following condition:

- For any  $x \in U$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  inside  $U$  and a section  $s_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  such that for any  $y \in V$ , we have  $s(y) = (s_V)_y$ .

For  $U \subseteq U'$ , it is obvious that the projection map  $\prod_{x \in U'} \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x$  sends  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp(U')$  into  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp(U)$ . Moreover, one can check the obtained maps  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp(U') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sharp(U)$  upgrade the assignment  $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}^\sharp(U)$  to an object in  $\mathbf{Shv}(X, \mathbf{Set})$ .

Now we construct the morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sharp$ . For any open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , consider the map

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x, \quad s \mapsto (s_x)_{x \in U}.$$

It is obvious that the image of this map is contained in  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp(U)$ . Moreover, the obtained maps  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sharp(U)$  is functorial in  $U$ , therefore give a morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sharp$ .

It remains to show  $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sharp$  exhibits  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$  as a sheafification of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a testing sheaf, we need to show

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Shv}(X, \mathbf{Set})}(\mathcal{F}^\sharp, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{PShv}(X, \mathbf{Set})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \circ \theta$$

is bijective. Let  $\beta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism. For any open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , recall taking germs induces an injection

$$\mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{G}_x$$



and its image is described in Lemma 2.2.1. Using that description, it is clear that there is a unique dotted map making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^\sharp(U) & \xrightarrow{\quad \varepsilon \quad} & \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x \\ \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \downarrow (\beta_x)_{x \in U} \\ \mathcal{G}(U) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{G}_x. \end{array}$$

Moreover, the obtained map  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  is functorial in  $U$ . Hence we obtain a morphism  $\beta^\sharp : \mathcal{F}^\sharp \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . Now one can check that the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{PShv}(X, \mathrm{Set})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Set})}(\mathcal{F}^\sharp, \mathcal{G}), \quad \beta \mapsto \beta^\sharp$$

and (3.1) are inverse to each other. In particular, they are both bijective as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.3.** *The fully faithful embedding  $\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Set}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PShv}(X, \mathrm{Set})$  admits a left adjoint which sends  $\mathcal{F}$  to its sheafification  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ .*

**Example 3.1.4.** Let  $A$  be a set. The sheafification  $\underline{A}^\sharp$  of the constant presheaf  $\underline{A}$  is the sheaf

$$\mathfrak{U}(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, \quad U \mapsto C(U, A)$$

that sends  $U$  to the set of continuous maps from  $U$  to  $A$  (equipped with the discrete topology). We call it the **constant sheaf** associated to  $A$ .

**Remark 3.1.5.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a presheaf of abelian groups. Let  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$  be the sheafification of the underlying  $\mathrm{Set}$ -valued presheaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  as constructed in the proof of the proposition. One can check that  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp(U)$  is a subgroup of the abelian group  $\prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$  can be upgraded to a sheaf of abelian groups. Moreover, for any testing sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  of abelian groups, pre-composing with  $\theta$  induces an bijection:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Ab})}(\mathcal{F}^\sharp, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{PShv}(X, \mathrm{Ab})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \circ \theta.$$

In other words,  $\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Ab}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PShv}(X, \mathrm{Ab})$  admits a left adjoint which sends  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{F}^\sharp$ .

**Remark 3.1.6.** In general, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category admitting small limits and filtered colimits, then any  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaf admits a sheafification that can be constructed as follows.

For  $U \subseteq X$ , we can define the *category  $\mathrm{Cov}_U$  of open coverings of  $U$*  as follows:

- An object is an open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ ;
- A morphism from  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  to  $(V_j)_{j \in J}$  is a map  $J \rightarrow I$  such that  $V_j \subseteq U_i$  for any  $j \in J$ .

One can show that  $\mathrm{Cov}_U$  is filtered. Now for any  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{PShv}(X, \mathcal{C})$ , we have a functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Cov}_U & \rightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ (U_i)_{i \in I} & \mapsto & \lim_{i \in I} [\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{(i,j) \in I^2} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)]. \end{array}$$

sending a covering to the equalizer appeared in the sheaf condition. Note that the identity covering  $\{U\}$  is sent to the object  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ . Now we define

$$\mathcal{F}^+(U) := \mathrm{colim}_{[(U_i)_{i \in I}] \in \mathrm{Cov}_U} \lim_{i \in I} [\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{(i,j) \in I^2} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)].$$

By construction, there is a canonical morphism  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+(U)$ . Moreover, the above definition is contravariantly functorial in  $U$ , therefore we obtain an object  $\mathcal{F}^+ \in \mathbf{PShv}(X, \mathcal{C})$  equipped with a canonical morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$ .

In general,  $\mathcal{F}^+$  is not a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaf. But one can check that for any open covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , the morphism

$$\mathcal{F}^+(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}^+(U_i)$$

is a monomorphism. Using this property, one can show that  $(\mathcal{F}^+)^+$  is a sheaf and the composition  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}^+)^+$  exhibits  $(\mathcal{F}^+)^+$  as a sheafification of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### 3.2. Direct images.

**Construction 3.2.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. We have a functor

$$\mathfrak{U}(X')^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}}, \quad U' \mapsto f^{-1}(U').$$

For any category  $\mathcal{C}$ , it induces a functor

$$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{U}(X')^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}).$$

By definition, this gives a functor

$$f_* : \mathbf{PShv}(X, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{PShv}(X', \mathcal{C}).$$

We call it the **direct image functor** (or **pushforward functor**) along  $f$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaves.

Note that for continuous maps  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ , we have a canonical natural isomorphism  $(g \circ f)_* \simeq g_* \circ f_*$ .

Explicitly, given a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , its **direct image** (or **pushforward**) along  $f$  is the presheaf  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  defined by

$$f_*\mathcal{F}(U') := \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(U')),$$

with restriction maps given by those maps for  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf, then  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf.*

*Proof.* The sheaf condition for  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  and an open covering  $U' = \bigcup_{i \in I} U'_i$  is just the sheaf condition for  $\mathcal{F}$  and the open covering  $f^{-1}(U') = \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(U'_i)$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.2.3.** Let  $x \in X$  be a point and write  $i : \{x\} \rightarrow X$  for the embedding map. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category admitting a final object  $*$ . For any object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have

$$i_*(A) \simeq \delta_{x,A},$$

where we abuse notations and use  $A$  to denote the unique  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaf on  $\{x\}$  whose object of global sections is  $A$ .

**Example 3.2.4.** Let  $p : X \rightarrow \{*\}$  be the obvious projection map. For any sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , the direct image  $p_*\mathcal{F}$  is uniquely determined by  $p_*\mathcal{F}(\{*\})$ , which is  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  by definition. Hence in this case, we also call  $p_*$  is **taking global sections functor**.

**Warning 3.2.5.** Direct image functors do *not* commute with sheafifications. In other words  $f_*(\mathcal{F}^\#)$  and  $(f_*\mathcal{F})^\#$  are in general not isomorphic. For a counterexample, take  $\mathcal{F}$  to be a constant presheaf.

### 3.3. Inverse images for presheaves.

**Construction 3.3.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. Let  $\mathcal{F}' \in \text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})$  be a presheaf. We define a presheaf  $f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}' \in \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})$  by the following formula

$$f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}'(U) := \text{colim}_{V \in \mathfrak{U}(X', f(U))^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}'(V),$$

where  $\mathfrak{U}(X', f(U)) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(X')$  is the full subcategory of open neighborhoods of  $f(U)$  inside  $X'$ , and the restriction maps for  $f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}'$  are induced by those for  $\mathcal{F}'$ .

The construction  $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}'$  can be obviously upgraded to a functor

$$f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} : \text{PShv}(X', \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set}).$$

We call it the **inverse image functor** (or **pullback functor**) along  $f$  for presheaves of sets.

Note that  $\mathfrak{U}(X', f(U))^{\text{op}}$  is the category associated to a direct set. Hence  $f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}'(U)$  can be calculated as a quotient of

$$\bigsqcup_{V \in \mathfrak{U}(X', f(U))^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}'(V).$$

**Example 3.3.2.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $x$  be a point. Write  $i : \{x\} \rightarrow X$  for the embedding. We have

$$(i_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'))(\{x\}) \simeq \mathcal{F}'_x.$$

**Lemma 3.3.3.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $U \subseteq X$  be an open subset. Write  $j : U \rightarrow X$  for the embedding map. Then  $j_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}$  sends sheaves to sheaves.

*Proof.* For any  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})$  and open subset  $V \subseteq U$ , unwinding the definitions, we have

$$(j_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))(V) \simeq \mathcal{F}(V).$$

Hence the sheaf condition for  $j_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$  follows from that for  $\mathcal{F}$ . □

**Warning 3.3.4.** For general continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow X'$ , the functor  $f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}$  does not send sheaves to sheaves. To see this, consider the projection map  $p : X \rightarrow \{*\}$ .

**Remark 3.3.5.** The functor  $f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}' : \mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is the left Kan extension of  $\mathcal{F}' : \mathfrak{U}(X')^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  along the pullback functor  $\mathfrak{U}(X')^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(X)^{\text{op}}$ .

**Construction 3.3.6.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces and  $\mathcal{F}' \in \text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})$  be a presheaf. We construct a morphism

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow f_* \circ f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}')$$

as follows. For any open subest  $U' \subseteq X'$ , by definition,

$$(f_* \circ f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'))(U') \simeq (f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'))(f^{-1}(U')) \simeq \text{colim}_{V \in \mathfrak{U}(X', f(f^{-1}(U')))^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}'(V).$$

Note that  $U'$  is an object in  $\mathfrak{U}(X', f(f^{-1}(U')))^{\text{op}}$ . Hence we have a canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}'(U') \rightarrow (f_* \circ f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'))(U').$$

One can check these maps are compatible with restrictions, and therefore gives a morphism (3.2).

Moreover, we can upgrade these morphisms to a natural transformation

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Id} \rightarrow f_* \circ f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}.$$

**Construction 3.3.7.** Dually, let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces and  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})$  be a presheaf. We construct a morphism

$$(3.4) \quad f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ f_*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}.$$

as follows. For any open subest  $U \subseteq X$ , by definition,

$$(f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ f_*(\mathcal{F}))(U) \simeq \text{colim}_{V \in \mathfrak{U}(X', f(U))^{\text{op}}} (f_*(\mathcal{F}))(V) \simeq \text{colim}_{V \in \mathfrak{U}(X', f(U))^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)).$$

Note that for any  $V \in \mathfrak{U}(X', f(U))^{\text{op}}$ , we have  $U \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ , which gives a restriction map  $\mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ . One can check these maps are functorial in  $V$  and give a map

$$\text{colim}_{V \in \mathfrak{U}(X', f(U))^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U).$$

Hence we obtain a map

$$(f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ f_*(\mathcal{F}))(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U).$$

One can check these maps are compatible with restrictions, and therefore gives a morphism (3.4).

Moreover, we can upgrade these morphisms to a natural transformation

$$(3.5) \quad f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ f_* \rightarrow \text{Id}.$$

The following proposition follows from a boring diagram chasing. We omit the details.

**Proposition 3.3.8.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces and  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}' \in \text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})$ . The following compositions are inverse to each other:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})}(f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'), \mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{f_*} \text{Hom}_{\text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})}(f_* \circ f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'), f_* \mathcal{F}) \\ &\xrightarrow{- \circ (3.2)} \text{Hom}_{\text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})}(\mathcal{F}', f_* \mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})}(\mathcal{F}', f_* \mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}} \text{Hom}_{\text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})}(f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'), f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ f_*(\mathcal{F})) \\ &\xrightarrow{(3.4) \circ -} \text{Hom}_{\text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})}(f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'), \mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.3.9.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. The functor*

$$f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} : \text{PShv}(X', \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})$$

*is canonically left adjoint to*

$$f_* : \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{PShv}(X', \text{Set}).$$

**Corollary 3.3.10.** *For continuous maps  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ , we have a canonical natural isomorphism  $(g \circ f)_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \simeq f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ g_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}$ .*

**Remark 3.3.11.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category admitting direct colimits. One can define the functor  $f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued presheaves using the same formula, and  $f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}$  is canonically left adjoint to  $f_*$ .

### 3.4. Inverse images for sheaves.

**Construction 3.4.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Shv}(X', \text{Set})$  be a sheaf. We define

$$f^{-1}\mathcal{F} := (f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}')^{\sharp}$$

to be the sheafification of the presheaf-theoretic inverse image of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The construction  $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow f^{-1}\mathcal{F}'$  can be obviously upgraded to a functor

$$f^{-1} : \text{Shv}(X', \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(X, \text{Set}).$$

We call it the **inverse image functor** (or **pullback functor**) along  $f$  for sheaves of sets.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces and  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}' \in \text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})$ . We have canonical bijections:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}(X, \text{Set})}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'), \mathcal{F}) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})}(f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'), \mathcal{F}) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})}(\mathcal{F}', f_*\mathcal{F}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}(X', \text{Set})}(\mathcal{F}', f_*\mathcal{F}), \end{aligned}$$

where

- the first bijection is due to the definition of sheafifications;
- the second bijection is that in Proposition 3.3.8;
- the last bijection is due to the fully faithful embedding  $\text{Shv}(X', \text{Set}) \subseteq \text{PShv}(X', \text{Set})$ .

**Corollary 3.4.2.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. The functor

$$f^{-1} : \text{Shv}(X', \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(X, \text{Set})$$

is canonically left adjoint to

$$f_* : \text{Shv}(X, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(X', \text{Set}).$$

**Exercise 3.4.3.** The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{PShv}(X', \text{Set}) & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}} & \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set}) \\ \downarrow (-)^{\sharp} & & \downarrow (-)^{\sharp} \\ \text{Shv}(X', \text{Set}) & \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} & \text{Shv}(X, \text{Set}). \end{array}$$

**Exercise 3.4.4.** Show that  $f^{-1}$  sends a constant sheaf to the constant sheaf associated to the same set.

**Example 3.4.5.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $x$  be a point. Write  $i : \{x\} \rightarrow X$  for the embedding. For  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{Set})$ , we have

$$i^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{F}_x,$$

where in the RHS we abuse notations by identifying a sheaf on  $\{x\}$  with its set of global sections (see Example 1.2.6).

**Remark 3.4.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category admitting small limits and filtered colimits. One can define the functor  $f^{-1}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued sheaves using the same formula, and  $f^{-1}$  is canonically left adjoint to  $f_*$ .

### 3.5. Open base-change.

**Construction 3.5.1.** Given a commutative square of topological spaces

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ \downarrow u & & \downarrow v \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y', \end{array}$$

consider the canonical natural isomorphism  $v_* \circ f_* \simeq g_* \circ u_*$ . Using the adjunctions  $(g_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}, g_*)$  and  $(f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}, f_*)$ , we obtain natural transformations

$$g_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ v_* \rightarrow g_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ v_* \circ f_* \circ f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \simeq g_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ g_* \circ u_* \circ f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \rightarrow u_* \circ f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1},$$

where the first arrow is induced by  $\text{Id} \rightarrow f_* \circ f_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}$  (see (3.3)), while the last arrow is induced by  $g_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ g_* \rightarrow \text{Id}$  (see (3.5)).

We call the above composition the **base-change natural transformation**<sup>7</sup> for presheaves associated to the square (3.6).

Similarly, we have the base-change natural transformation for sheaves

$$g^{-1} \circ v_* \rightarrow u_* \circ f^{-1}.$$

**Proposition 3.5.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous map between topological spaces and  $U' \subseteq X'$  be an open subset. Write  $U := f^{-1}(U')$  can consider the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{j} & X \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ U' & \xrightarrow{j'} & X'. \end{array}$$

Then both

$$(j')_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ f_* \rightarrow g_* \circ j_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}$$

and

$$(j')^{-1} \circ f_* \rightarrow g_* \circ j^{-1}$$

are natural isomorphisms.

*Proof.* We will prove the claim for presheaves. That for sheaves follow from Lemma 3.3.3.

For any  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{PShv}(X, \text{Set})$  and open subset  $V' \subseteq U'$ , unwinding the definitions, we have

$$((j')_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ f_*(\mathcal{F}))(V') \simeq (f_*(\mathcal{F}))(V') \simeq \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V'))$$

and

$$(g_* \circ j_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))(V') \simeq (j_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))(g^{-1}(V')) \simeq \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V')).$$

One can check that via these identifications, the value of  $(j')_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ f_* \rightarrow g_* \circ j_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}$  at  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $V'$  is given by the identity map on  $\mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V'))$ . In particular,  $(j')_{\text{PShv}}^{-1} \circ f_* \rightarrow g_* \circ j_{\text{PShv}}^{-1}$  is a natural isomorphism.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.3.** Informally, we say: *open* pullbacks commute with pushforwards.

<sup>7</sup>Other name: Bech–Chevalley natural transformations.

**Warning 3.5.4.** In the setting of Proposition 3.5.2, one can also consider the natural transformations

$$f_{\mathrm{PShv}}^{-1} \circ j'_* \rightarrow j_* \circ g_{\mathrm{PShv}}^{-1}$$

and

$$f^{-1} \circ j'_* \rightarrow j_* \circ g^{-1}.$$

However, they are *not* invertible in general.

**Exercise 3.5.5.** Let  $X' = \{s, b\}$  be the topological space with two points whose open subsets are exactly given by  $\emptyset, \{b\}$  and  $X'$ . Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \xrightarrow{j} & \{s\} \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ \{b\} & \xrightarrow{j'} & X'. \end{array}$$

Show that  $f_{\mathrm{PShv}}^{-1} \circ j'_* \rightarrow j_* \circ g_{\mathrm{PShv}}^{-1}$  and  $f^{-1} \circ j'_* \rightarrow j_* \circ g^{-1}$  are not invertible.